EXHIBIT 100 TO HARVEY DECLARATION REDACTED VERSION

| From: | Laszlo Bock laszlo Bock">laszlo Bock | |
|--|---|--|
| Sent: To: | Tuesday, November 16, 2010 2:25 AM Paul Otellini | |
| Cc: | Eric Schmidt; Shona Brown; John Doerr | |
| Subject: | Re: the FT article | |
| | | |
| Paul, | | |
| Sorry for the confusion here! V | Ve definitely heard you and believe we implemented your feedback! | |
| calculation of the increase in pa as the FT doesn't know that we' | ar LDCC discussion where your concerns were quite clear, and the <u>FT's erroneous</u> by for SVPs, without the benefit of having full information. The latter is the root cause, are eliminating the Company Multiplier, so most of the "increase" is just shifting from the named SVPs, but of course that's not transparent to the FT. | |
| YOUR CONCERNS ABOUT | CASH COMPENSATION | |
| | table on page 5 of the LDCC document (attached). You were comfortable with the | |
| | n column from left as it was a result of all performance was factored in to took. | |
| You were very uncomfortable very performance ratings, which we Redacted | with the effect of keeping our current Individual Performance payout curve and historic projected would (11th column from left | |
| We all agreed to rolling in bonus structure so we didn't also | and increasing and all agreed to revise our o increase actual pay by the shown in the table. | |
| The approved detail was: | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ET ANALYSIS OF SHANGE | EC INI CMD DAM | |
| FT ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN SVP PAY | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| For SVPs, the reconciliation to | the FT's math is: | |

Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 820-18 Filed 04/10/14 Page 3 of 3

| | |
|--|------------|
| 1. 2. 3. | |
| For our internal discussions, we compared (2) and (3), which is increase in pay for SVPs (see page | 5 of doc). |
| The FT, not having the benefit of understanding that we've compared (1) and (3), which is in pay. | stead |
| Paul, I hope this is helpful. Please feel free to call my cell phone on the state of if it's helpful to walk the live. | rough this |
| Best, Laszlo | |
| Best, Laszlo | |

On Mon, Nov 15, 2010 at 5:10 PM, Otellini, Paul < paul.otellini@intel.com > wrote:

I was very surprised to see that the FT wrote that our regulatory filings had the "million dollar raises for execs" in them. Recall that I objected to this in the meeting and I came away hearing that you would modify the bonus such that we did not signal this level of compensation increase, nor were we prepared to deliver increases to execs in the 2-4X range of the rank and file. Can one of you tell me what went wrong here? Or is my memory on this wrong? Thanks, Paul